Measuring Expulsion Rates for Quality Improvement Purposes





ACOG Disclaimer

This information is designed as an educational resource to aid clinicians in providing obstetric and gynecologic care, and use of this information is voluntary. This information should not be considered as inclusive of all proper treatments or methods of care or as a statement of the standard of care. It is not intended to substitute for the independent professional judgment of the treating clinician. Variations in practice may be warranted when, in the reasonable judgment of the treating clinician, such course of action is indicated by the condition of the patient, limitations of available resources, or advances in knowledge or technology. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists reviews its publications regularly; however, its publications may not reflect the most recent evidence. Any updates to this document can be found on www.acog.org or by calling the ACOG Resource Center.

While ACOG makes every effort to present accurate and reliable information, this publication is provided "as is" without any warranty of accuracy, reliability, or otherwise, either express or implied. ACOG does not guarantee, warrant, or endorse the products or services of any firm, organization, or person. Neither ACOG nor its officers, directors, members, employees, or agents will be liable for any loss, damage, or claim with respect to any liabilities, including direct, special, indirect, or consequential damages, incurred in connection with this publication or reliance on the information presented.

© 2019 by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 409 12th Street SW, PO Box 96920, Washington, DC 20090-6920. Individuals and groups providing patient care or clinical education in family planning have permission to copy all or any portion of this slide set for noncommercial, educational purposes, provided that no modifications are made and proper attribution is given.

Speaker Disclosures

Nexplanon trainer for Organon



Learning Objectives

- 1. Review lessons from the data about typical expulsion rates
- 2. Describe what factors contribute to higher expulsion rates
- 3. Discuss best practices in calculating expulsion rates
- 4. Discuss how to use expulsion rate data for quality improvement purposes



IN THE DATA: IUD EXPULSION RATES AND RISK FACTORS



2020 Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

- Objective: To provide pooled IUD expulsion rates and expulsion risk estimates among women with postpartum IUD placement by timing of insertion, delivery type, and IUD type to inform current IUD insertion practices in the U.S.
- Pooled results from 48 studies representing 7,661 IUD placements; follow-up ranged from 4 weeks to 5 years
- Compared immediate, early inpatient, early outpatient, and interval insertions



Pooled Complete IUD Expulsion Rates

- Immediate insertion: 10.2% (range 0-26.7)
- Early inpatient insertion: 13.2% (range 3.5-46.7)
- Early outpatient insertion: 0%
- Interval insertion: 1.8% (0-4.8%)



Potential Risk Factors for Expulsion after Postplacental IUD Insertion

- Vaginal delivery, compared to c-section
- Clinician experience
- Parity
- IUD type



Role of Ultrasound During Insertion

- Studies that reported use of ultrasound during postplacental insertion after vaginal delivery showed expulsion rates of 19-24%, vs. 27-37% in studies without ultrasound
- No studies directly investigating comparison
- SFP recommendations: Reasonable to perform insertions with or without ultrasound guidance



2022 Cohort Study

- Investigated whether the timing of IUD insertion postpartum and breastfeeding are associated with risk of IUD expulsion
- Followed 326,685 women, 5-year cumulative incidence
- Findings: IUD expulsion is rare overall, but more common with immediate postpartum insertion (10.7% vs. 3.2%) and in people who are <u>not</u> breastfeeding (4.6% vs. 3.5%)



Expulsion Rate Calculation

Number expelled/number placed



Expulsion Rate Calculation

- Number expelled/number placed
- Denominator size
- Remember that expulsion rate will likely decrease with experience (time)



Using Data for Quality Improvement

- Insertion timing (10 minutes!)
- Ultrasound
- Trainee involvement
- Breastfeeding status

- Expulsion timing
- Contraceptive choice following expulsion
- Postpartum visit attendance (controls!)



QUESTIONS?



References

- Armstrong MA, Raine-Bennett T, Reed SD, et al. Association of the Timing of Postpartum Intrauterine Device Insertion and Breastfeeding With Risks of Intrauterine Device Expulsion. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2022;5(2):e2148474. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.48474
- Averbach SH, Ermias Y, Jeng G, Curtis KM, Whiteman MK, Berry-Bibee E, Jamieson DJ, Marchbanks PA, Tepper NK, Jatlaoui TC.
 Expulsion of intrauterine devices after postpartum placement by timing of placement, delivery type, and intrauterine device type: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2020 Aug;223(2):177-188. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2020.02.045. Epub 2020 Mar 3. PMID: 32142826; PMCID: PMC7395881.
- Whitaker AK, Chen BA. Society of Family Planning Guidelines: Postplacental insertion of intrauterine devices. Contraception. 2018 Jan;97(1):2-13. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2017.09.014. Epub 2017 Oct 5. PMID: 28987293.

